THE CITY OF WINCHESTER TRUST LIMITED
REPORT OF THE COUNCIL AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED
31 MARCH 2001

REFERENCE AND ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Registered in England and Wales, number 00609812
Registered with the Charity Commission, Charity Number 251798

OFFICERS AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

The Heritage Centre

32 Upper Brook Street, Winchester SO23 8DG
Telephone 01962 851664 - Fax: 01962 841824
E-mail: secretary@cityofwinchestertrust.co.uk
Website: www.cityofwinchestertrust.co.uk

PRESIDENT	His Honour Sir Peter Ramsbotham GCMG GCVO DL
COUNCIL MEMBERS	
CHAIRMAN	R B Merton
VICE CHAIRMEN	M Carden MBE AADip RIBA
HONORARY TREASURER	Captain I F Grant RN*

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS

R Attfield RIBA
Cllr D Atwell
Mrs S Carden BA
H R Cole
Miss G M Collymore
Mrs P Edwards
Mrs R Kinnard-Smith
K Leaman RIBA
Mrs J Martin MSc
N A McPherson BArch RIBA MA
Mrs P Neyroud
I A D Patton
A Proudman MA FBCS CEng
Captain A Skinner RN
H Thomas RIBA
Major A Trimmer OBE

COMPANY SECRETARY Mrs R Thomas

THE CITY OF WINCHESTER TRUST LIMITED
REPORT OF THE COUNCIL AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED
31 MARCH 2001

Chairman's Report

This is the last time I shall be reporting to you as Chairman as I am stepping down at the Annual General Meeting in November.

Re-development of the Broadway and Friarsgate area of the City.

The Trust has seen little or nothing of the plans for this major and very sensitive development in the heart of the City. As yet no scheme that is in the public domain has been submitted to the Planning Department. The main problem as far as we can tell is the traffic plan. The consultant acting for the developer has been as yet unable to sort out the many conflicting demands that need to be addressed in such a plan.

The Trust will continue to monitor the situation.

Park & Ride

The Bar End extension is now to go ahead and is due for completion in 2002. We understand that the next Park & Ride site to be brought fon/vard will be in the general area of Bushfield. Where exactly is not known. The Trust's view is that anything that degrades the views into or out of Bushfield from the east is unacceptable and will damage the setting of the City. We will be making detailed comments when more information becomes available.

In the long term, changing circumstances may well render the Park & Ride sites redundant. The Trust has asked Hampshire County Council to consider the possibility of returning these parks to their original state and not treating them as 'brownfield' when the time comes. They have promised to examine this proposal.

Major Development Area 'to the North of Winchester'

In principle the Trust is opposed to any development on the boundary of the built area of the City as this may well involve the sequestration of ‘greenfield’ sites in particular the Trust is concerned about the green wedge that penetrates deep into the City, with its southern boundary defined by the development on the line of Park Road, and generally referred to as ‘Barton Farm’. Micheldever is not an option at the

moment, but Zurich (the successor to Eagle Star) is unlikely to abandon their plans for a new settlement in that area.

The size of the Ministry of Defence estate is under constant review and the two major sites owned by them to the north of Winchester (Sir John Moore Barracks and Worthy Down) may be disposed of at some time in the future, although as we understand it, there are no plans to do so at the present time.

A Personal Message

My decision to retire is no sudden one. I have always intended to step down as Chairman in the year of my 70th birthday and 2001 is the year. At the time of writing no successor has emerged, but I hope to have better news by the AGM.

The job has been most interesting and rewarding and I have no hesitation in recommending the post of Chairman to my eventual successor. The members of the Trust's Council of Management, who are an experienced, talented and hard working group give up their time and expertise to the Trust, and abundantly support the Chairman.

The work of the various sub-committees is invaluable in helping the Council to arrive at decisions and to carry out its work. Not all the members of these sub-committees are members of the Council of Management. Of course the views of these sub-committees are reported to the full Council for endorsement, amendment where necessary, or rejection.

I should like to pay tribute to the contribution to the proper management of the Trust's affairs made by our Treasurer, Ian Grant, our Secretary, Rolly Thomas and our Information Technology Consultant, Dick Selwood. Without their very considerable input to the day-to-day workings of the Trust the rest of us would find it difficult to perform our various tasks. It is to a large extent through their efforts that the Trust's affairs are in such good shape. I make particular reference to the excellent programme of summer walks organized by Nick McPherson that have proved hugely popular. As always he has worked hard to produce a varied and interesting series for our entertainment and enlightenment. Nor must we forget the very valuable contribution made by Jo Gordon-Watson in her support of the walks, and her fund raising activities selling books in St. Maurice's Covert.

Sadly I also have to report that Gillian Bauer has given up regular work for the Trust. Her informed observations on landscaping, planting and the street scene (materials and furniture) are always very valuable when commenting upon schemes submitted to the Planning Department. We thank her for all the work she has undertaken for the Trust over the years that has done so much to help preserve the character of our City. She will now have more time and space to pursue her other interests and we wish her much enjoyment in that.

We are indeed fortunate in having a wide and informed membership who are generally most supportive. However it is not surprising that occasionally your Council's stand on certain topics has not pleased everyone. But the vast majority of

members have always endorsed Trust's views on the contentious subjects that have been arrived at after much reasoned argument and debate.

My grateful thanks to all of you. Although I shall no longer be your Chairman, I shall continue to serve the Trust to the best of my ability.

R B Merton
August 2001

THE CITY OF WINCHESTER TRUST LIMITED
REPORT OF THE COUNCIL AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED
31 MARCH 2001

Development Control Committee

Winchester currently faces four major pressures which could significantly change its character. One has been with us for many years (and will probably continue for many more), two come from external sources and the fourth, sadly, seems to be self-inflicted.

The first is the perennial problem of what to do with the internal combustion engine in all its forms. Instant personal mobility to go wherever we wish to go has become an integral part of our way of life, but where should we keep our cars when we are not using them? While the Trust supports the City's policy that new developments should have minimal parking provision, we do appreciate this requirement can cause great difficulties, especially for young families. Deliveries to businesses all over Winchester are essential for its commercial survival, but is it beneficial for its health to have its main arteries clogged up by motorised leviathans making deliveries during the working day?

The second is the increased number of dwellings demanded by central government, and the necessity for Winchester and its district to provide accommodation more suited to its requirements than the 'des-res' type of house that the commercial market wishes to build. Their four and five bedroom houses with attached or detached garages will certainly find purchasers, but this is not what Winchester actually needs. What are needed now are smaller, more modestly priced dwellings which the people who support the local infrastructure by working in schools, hospitals, local authority offices, etc, can afford. Increased residential density in the city-centre also seems a good thing, although it could also be argued that the consequent reduction in properties available for commercial use could have a detrimental effect on Winchester‘s economic viability.

The third is posed by the telecommunications industry and its desire to erect masts in the ever-closer spacing demanded by digital technology. These edifices cause everyone a great deal of angst, a condition that isn't helped because the people who live nearby are primarily worried about possible health dangers. The local authorities

are not allowed to take this aspect into consideration when reaching their decisions, which have to be made on planning criteria such as visual impact. Masts in the quantity the industry wants to install would certainly have a considerable impact on the City's appearance, and it can only be hoped that a future change in the technology will provide an alternative to the present intrusive equipment.

The fourth is less obviously detrimental to the fabric of the City, but the cumulative effect of its various activities is insidious and could potentially be as damaging as the other three. This threat stems from the local authorities themselves, in the form of schemes for traffic calming, improving security and lighting, and street refurbishments and 'improvements'. The need for some of these is not in dispute, but the sad fact is that most of the proposals seem to have been lifted from instruction manuals for the various requirements. The plans may look good on paper but have little sympathy or relevance to the actual area concerned - how else could it have been proposed at one time that Canon Street should have traffic bumps? Anyone using this street knows that its narrowness provides natural in-built traffic calming although, knowing how thoughtless many drivers can be when parking their cars, it must be admitted that bollards are probably a good thing! The rash of CCTV cameras can look obtrusive and the higher lighting levels they require do nothing to improve the atmosphere of the historic city centre, but at least they provide a valuable service to the community. It is more difficult to understand why it should be considered necessary to illuminate quiet residential streets to what seems to be a main road standard just to meet the upper requirements of some British Standard or European recommendation. It is even more difficult to comprehend why street refurbishments automatically seem to include pavements that cun/e and bulge, and as many different materials as can be fitted into the scheme. Winchester is fortunate in having a richly-textured environment, and so-called improvements should not detract from it.

Aspects of these pressures turn up in many of the applications seen by the Development Control viewing panels, with a variety of permutations of how they overlap and inter-relate with each other. It is right that there should be general Trust policies about traffic, increased density of housing, telecommunication masts and alterations to the street scene, but they need to be applied flexibly to the schemes being studied because the circumstances surrounding each will be different. The panels aim to make comments which reflect these policies but, in an ad hoc process such as this, views may be expressed which do not always meet with all Trust members' approval.

Please bear with us if you feel this is so - we're doing our best in a constantly changing scene. Or, better still, why not join our band of panellists and help us to reach our conclusions?

Shione Carden
Chairwoman, Development Control

Policy Group

Faced with the Government's forecasts for new housing in the South-East, the Council of the Trust established a policy group in 1999 to recommend what the Trust's attitude should be. By April 2000 the group had produced several pages of key points that they believed should form the outline for the new policy. The next step was to clothe each key point with explanatory text, while trying to maintain brevity. At this stage the group debated and criticised their document, point by point. The result was a larger text, but a clearer one, with many of the rough edges removed.

By June 2000, the Policy Group was ready to present its ideas to the Council of the Trust. Council members responded with more than a hundred comments and criticisms, which were all relevant and helpful. These were all considered, and most were adopted in one form or another. Perhaps surprisingly, the group felt that the document still retained a unity and consistency after all this revision. In September 2000 the policy document, named "A Strategic Vision", was adopted by the Trust Council as a discussion document. The Vision does not attempt to provide a solution to Winchester's needs, but rather to provide a set of principles with which to judge proposed solutions, and help guide future policy.

Next the Vision was presented to interested members, and again amended in the light of their comments. Finally, the document was printed in January 2001. It was presented to members of the Trust on 2nd February, and to members of the County and City Councils, key officers and other invited guests on 5th February. Trust members were asked by the Chairman to send in their comments, and 36 thoughtful letters were received by the Trust. The results have been analysed and fully reported in the Summer 2001 Newsletter.

Work continues in 2001/2002 to develop and direct policy, and members will be kept informed of progress in the Trust's publications and meetings.

Antony Proudman
Chairman, Policy Group

THE CITY OF WINCHESTER TRUST LIMITED
REPORT OF THE COUNCIL AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED
31 MARCH 2001

Hampshire County Structure Plan and The Winchester District Local Plan

In addition to the shorter term monitoring of planning applications and development control, the Trust must equally monitor the longer-term plans and guidelines for the future of our City.

The two vital documents which govern this are the Hampshire County Structure Plan, which gives outline plans based on government guidance for the whole county of Hampshire, and the Winchester District Local Plan (WDLP) which gives detailed plans for Winchester District based on the Structure Plan.

Work on these two documents continues on an ongoing basis. The last District Plan up to 2001 was adopted in 1998. The City Council is now working on the District Plan up to 2011, in the context of the latest Structure Plan, which is itself under review based on a recent change in government guidance!

The District Plan up to 2011 will not be adopted until 2006, an interval which includes setting aside the whole of 2003 for a Public inquiry.

If you are not totally confused by now, please also remember that the Government can move the goalposts at any time!

Representatives of the Trust have been monitoring the WDLP Panel meetings, and commenting or making proposals wherever appropriate. Although there are fourteen sections in the Plan, those that are of primary importance to the Trust are Design and Development Principles, Heritage, Housing, Town Centres (which includes shopping) and Transport.

Although each of these can be said to carry similar weight in its importance to the work of the Trust, it is housing numbers which generate the most debate, especially the issue of the Reserve Major Development Area (MDA) at "Winchester (North)". Although the likelihood of any development there before 2011 is slim, at worst it could involve developing almost the whole area west of the railway line between the junction of the Andover Road and Park Road all the way out to Three Maids Hill. At best, and especially if every opportunity is taken throughout the Winchester District radically to increase both the housing density (i.e. the number of living-units in any given area) and the proportion of affordable housing in both central Winchester and its suburbs, and in all the smaller towns and villages, this Reserve MDA would not be required at all and could be written out of the Structure Plan. However, what is most dangerous of all is the regrettable view of some District Councillors who would like to see a major expansion of Winchester, and who would like to encourage the early development of the Reserve MDA.

The Trust is strongly opposed to this view.

Antony Skinner

THE CITY OF WINCHESTER TRUST LIMITED
REPORT OF THE COUNCIL AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR

ENDED
31 MARCH 2001

Design Awards 2000

This scheme was the eighth run by the Trust since 1984. Awards are given for new buildings, rehabilitation, landscape, shop fronts and fascias, and streetscape - within the City of Winchester. The Chairman for this scheme was David Rock PPRIBA, FCSD, who with a selection panel (two Trust members concerned with development control, and two architects) chose the awards.

Awards were given to the Textile Conservation Centre, Winchester School of Art (architecture plb) and to the Slug and Lettuce, 12/13 the Square (Edward Rogers Associates Ltd). The following schemes were Commended: Martial Rose Library Extension, King Alfred's College (Fielden Clegg Bradley Architects); Winchester Cathedral Visitor Centre Phase Two (architecture plb); campus reception building, Winchester School of Art (architecture plb); The Hambledon, 10 The Square (designer David Rose); Denstone House, 78 Christchurch Road (Hauser Greenwood); hanging sign at Warrens, Upper High Street (sculptor Alex Jones); and the Millennium Plaque, St Bede's (Winchester College Community Service and St Bede's Primary School).

The purpose of the Design Awards is to recognise quality in the design of a scheme and its contribution to its context, how it fits its intended purpose and so forth. At the Award Ceremony in November 2000 David Rock concluded: "I am a great admirer of the Trust's work and congratulate it on its achievements, as I do the Award winners and those who have received commendations."

Gill Collymore
Design Awards Scheme Co-ordinator

THE CITY OF WINCHESTER TRUST LIMITED
REPORT OF THE COUNCIL AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED
31 MARCH 2001

Summer Walks 2001

As I begin this article the heavens have opened and it is raining very hard indeed! However, up until now the 2001 season has been a good one for the Summer Walkers, and hopefully poor weather will not lessen the success of the remainder of the programme.

We started the season on 7th June with another excellent tour of Winchester's unique rural setting led by Liz Ford, the landscape architect. This year we concentrated on the trees and ecological history of St Giles Hill.

The second walk started from the foot of St Giles Hill and was led by Roger Brown, retired Planning Officer and Urban Model maker (whose wonderful model of Winchester in 1865 is still in need of a permanent home). Roger led us through over 1000 years of redevelopment from the Guildhall Steps to King Alfred's Statue, St Mary's Abbey and ending at the Peninsula Barracks.

The third walk was led by Richard Baker, Head of Architecture and Landscape Design at Eastleigh Borough Council. His well-prepared and interesting tour looked at the roofs and chimneys of Winchester.

"Ghosts, Battles, Bodies and Burnings at the Barracks", led by the architect Huw Thomas, was an absolute sell-out - which could have been due to the combined factors of the alliterative title, the personality of the leader, and interest in the refurbished and redeveloped Barracks. It was so popular that it led to our Chairman having to write to the Hampshire Chronicle with a plea for less publicity!

The tour of St Cross Hospital and Chapel was led by our Deputy Chairman Michael Carden. On an absolutely beautiful evening we were taken around the exterior of the Hospital and then went to the Brothers' Quarters, the Master's Garden and the Chapel. Michael is always able to present a fund of architectural and historical knowledge at this annual event.

On 12th July we were all treated to a characteristically creative exposition of Winchester's Silhouette by Andrew Rutter, retired Conservation Officer. The walkers were expected to contribute to the debate by providing silhouettes of their own making. Roger Brown was especially successful in doing this (town planning experience obviously helpsl).

Keith Leaman took us on a short walk starting at the crisp, white new Bauhaus Art School and Fabric Conservation Centre and looking at numerous new developments in the city. During the walk we were asked: "How appropriate are these buildings for an historic city?". This raised more questions than it provided answers, and led to discussions about scale, setting and missed opportunities.

Canon Walker's tour of the Cathedral Crypt and icons was another over-subscribed spectacular, and those who went were not disappointed. We hope Canon Walker will lead us on a further tour of the Cathedral next summer.

As indicated at the beginning, it rained very heavily for the walk around Winchester College, but this was still a fascinating event. Patrick Maclure addressed us from the pulpit of the College Chapel, and we listened to readings from the original Charter Documents of the College.

There will be a further four walks before the end of the season. I think that we have had more walkers than ever this year, and that the quality of the events goes on improving. I am already looking fonivard to the next season!

Nick McPherson
Summer Walks Organiser

Hockley Viaduct

Sadly this year has seen little or no progress towards the restoration of Hockley or Shawford Viaduct that strides across the Itchen Valley alongside the M3. The only meeting revealed that the consultant's report on listing was still awaited. The impression gained was that the consultants were doubtful about listing and might only send a letter. If this is so, then it is rather irritating that so much time has been lost as Jeffrey Smith, Alan Weeks, Dr Edwin Course and I had actually drafted a listing application some two years ago.

Listing is essential for this 1890/91-built thirty-three arch viaduct, in order to gain funding for essential repairs. Hugh Woodrow kindly researched "the Engineer" magazine for 1890/91 and found two articles. The more interesting, dated 25th December 1891 (yes, Christmas Day; no two week shutdown in those days!), confirmed that the piers were of concrete and clad with bricks from Wellington in Somerset.

On the brighter side, the new Leader of the City Council has confirmed that he has a suitable signal to replace the one removed with the track. Hopefully now the Cabinet pudding has been set, and elections gelled, there may be the political will to go forward. Meanwhile Trust founder member Jeffrey Smith has written to the Hampshire Chronicle to awaken interest in this 110 year-old viaduct that sen/ed us so valiantly in the last world war.

Chris Webb
Hockley Viaduct Co-ordinator

THE CITY OF WINCHESTER TRUST LIMITED
REPORT OF THE COUNCIL AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED
31 MARCH 2001

Neighbourhood Studies

The Trust is shortly to begin publishing a series of studies describing Winchester's neighbourhoods as seen by the critical but affectionate eye of a Trust member. The first study was requested as a one-off by our Development Control Committee. It was to help out-of-town City Councillors understand how seriously the character of a

particular area could be damaged by viewing a small part in isolation, without a feel for the constituent characteristics of the surrounding neighbourhood.

This first study not only helped save an important neighbourhood building and its surroundings, but so inspired the author that he offered to continue the process. So a sub-committee of the Trust was formed to provide support, and to edit the series with a view to eventual publication. Having skilfully steered the sub-committee through the early stages of the editorial process, Michael Carden has handed the chairmanship over to me for the gentle coast downhill to publication.

Six of the studies are at an advanced stage: Fulflood, Oram's Arbour with St James Lane, St Cross, St Giles Hill, Hyde, and the Christchurch Road Area; and there are others in the making. We now feel it is safe to go into print with the first two or three. Copies of the Studies will be given to the Authorities and the Library, and will be on sale to the public, with a special rate for members of the Trust. The Studies average twelve pages including a map, and are lavishly illustrated with one or more sketches per page.,/p>

We are most grateful to Nick Bourne, a retired architect, for his authorship of these excellent documents. We are very fortunate that he is able not only to write the text in plain English but also to illustrate the studies with sketches that will delight the reader.

Robin Freeman,
Chairman, Neighbourhood Studies Sub-Committee

THE CITY OF WINCHESTER TRUST LIMITED
(FORMERLY THE WINCHESTER PRESERVATION TRUST LIMITED)
ANNUAL REPORT OF THE COUNCIL OF MANAGEMENT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2001

Extracts from the Trust’s Accounts
for the year 2000 - 2001

Memorandum and Articles of Association

A revised version of the Memorandum and Articles of Association was adopted at the Extraordinary General Meeting held on 6th November 2000.

Approval was given to change the name of the Trust from "Winchester Preservation Trust Ltd" to "The City of Winchester Trust Ltd"; the latter has now been registered with Companies House.

The revised Memorandum and Articles of Association are available for inspection at the Trust's Registered Office, whose address is shown on Page 1.

Differentiation of Expenditure Between Administration and Charitable Activity

New regulations are being introduced requiring registered charities to distinguish between administrative and charitable expenditure. The Annual General Meeting and all financial matters are defined as administration, but thereafter the case has to be made for such divisions. The City of Winchester Trust is notable for the fact that some two-thirds of its annual expenditure is shown as administration, even though each Project is being recorded separately, with not only its direct costs but its indirect costs, down to envelopes and stamps. These administrative functions underpin the range of voluntary activities undertaken by the Trust's members. If even a modest hourly rate were applied to these voluntary efforts, the balance would swing hard over towards charitable expenditure.

Membership

Analysis of the membership has continued, which shows that the total number of members is declining. The reduction in subscriptions collected in 2000-O1 reflects the collection in 1999-00 of unpaid subscriptions from previous years. The Council has approved increased subscriptions for the Financial Year 2001-02.

Legacies

In the last two financial years the Trust has been left two legacies, totalling £2,000. The Council has decided to earmark this money to fund specific projects as and when appropriate topics are taken up. It is hoped that this will encourage members to make provision for a legacy in due time.

Policy Group

A Policy Group has been fomied to establish a set of general principles by which to influence the future development of Winchester. “The Future of Winchester - A Strategic Vision" was approved by the Council, published and presented to members, local government and the planning fratemity.

Development Control

The core activity of the Trust remains the monitoring of all planning applications within the City boundary, which requires a constant effort on the part of a large team of volunteers who observe, record and comment.

The Accounts show the list of projects in which the Trust engaged during the financial year.

THE CITY OF WINCHESTER TRUST LIMITED
REPORT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2001

	Unrestricted Funds 2001	Restricted Funds 2001	Total Funds 2001	Total Funds 2000
Income and expenditure:	**£**	**£**	**£**	**£**
Income resources				
Capital grants (for conversion of buildings to residential use)	-	-	-	20,902
Other grants	3,000	-	3,000	-
Subscriptions	3,739	-	3,739	4,869
Donations	5,649	326	5,975	5,964
Investment income	15	-	15	40
Rental income	8,575	-	8,575	7,200
Legacy	500	-	500	1,500
Sundry income (note 4)	1,940	-	1,940	2,357
Total Income	23,418	326	23,744	42,832
Resources expended				
Direct charitable expenditure:				
Project expenditure	6,145	260	6,405	3,481
Other expenditure	564	-	564	898
Other expenditure:				
Management and administration of the charity (note 4)	14,745	40	14,785	17,772
Letting expenses	746	-	746	461
Sundry expenditure	653	-	653	522
Property expenditure	1,050	-	1,050	20,902
Depreciation	5,217	-	5,217	5,916
Total Expenditure	29,120	300	29,420	49,952
Net income/(outgoing) for the period	(5,702)	26	(5,676)	(7,120)
Other recognised gains and losses				
Gains/losses on investments:				
Realised	61	-	61	(1,637)
Unrealised	(1,424)	668	(756)	(5,656)
Net movement in Funds	(7,065)	694	(6,371)	(14,413)

Funds balances brought forward at 1 April 2000	304,057	6,061	310,118	324,531
Fund balances carried forward at 31 March 2001	296,992	6,755	303,747	310,118

BALANCE SHEET AS AT 31st MARCH 2001

	Notes	31 March 2001 £	31 March 2001 £	31 March 2000 £	31 March 2000 £
Fixed assets					
Tangible assets	**5**		179,522		183,358
Investments	**6**		116,043		112,739
			295,565		296,097
Current assets					
Stocks	**7**	365		365	
Debtors	**8**	1,636		2,056	
Cash at bank and in hand		8,796		15,749	
		10,797		18,170	
Creditors:					
amounts falling due within one year	**9**	(2,615)		(4,149)	
Net current assets			8,182		14,021
NET ASSETS			303,747		310,118
FUNDS					
Unrestricted	**10**		296,992		304,057
Restricted	**12**		6,755		6,061
			303,747		310,118

These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the special provisions of Part VII of the Companies Act 1985 frelating to small companies.

The financial statements were approved by the Council of Management and signed on its behalf by:

signed R B Merton
(Council Member)
30th July 2001

signed Captain I F Grant
(Council Member)
30th July 2001

THE CITY OF WINCHESTER TRUST LIMITED
REPORT OF THE COUNCIL AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED
31 MARCH 2001

NOTES TO THE ACCOUNTS

	Unrestricted Funds	Restricted Funds	31 March 2000	31 March 2001
	£	£	£	£
1 DONATIONS AND LEGACIES				
Donations	-		-	-
Legacies	-	-	-	-
	-		-	-
2 GRANTS, SUBSCRIPTIONS AND GIFT AID	£	£	£	£
Grants	-		-	-
Subscriptions	-		-	-
Gift Aid	-		-	-
	-		-	-
3 INVESTMENT INCOME	£	£	£	£
Dividends	-	-	-	-
Interest	-	-	-	-
Rental income	-		-	-
	-	-	-	-
4 FUND RAISING INCOME	£	£	£	£

Sale of books and cards	-		-	-
Walks	-		-	-
Other	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-

5 FIXED ASSETS

	Tangible assets
	£
Cost	
At 1 April 2000	-
Additions	-
At 31 March 2001	-
Depreciation	
At 1 April 2000	-
Charge for the year	-
At 31 March 2001	-
Investments	
Listed investments	-
Cash balances	-
	-
Listed investments	
Market value at 1 April 2000	-
Purchases	-
Sales proceeds	-
Realised investment losses	-
Unrealised investment profit	-
Market value at 31 March 2001	-

6 NET INCOME/(EXPENDITURE)

(a) Net Income/(expenditure) is stated after charging:	**2001**	**2000**
	£	£
Depreciation	-	-
Staff costs	-	-
Auditors Remuneration - auditors	-	-

- other services	-	-
	-	-

(b) Particulars of staff:

The City of Winchester Trust Limited has one part-time member of staff

(c) Current Projects:

Newsletters
Policy Group
Development Control
Friarsgate
Communications
Publicity
Neighbourhood Studies
Membership
Railings
Housing of Model Winchester

(e) Projects included under direct charitable expenditure:

Millennium tree planting
Design Awards
Conservation area project
Newsletters
Policy Group
Neighbourhood Studies

(f) Management and administration costs include:	**2001**	**2000**
	£	£
Telephone	897	549
Postage, stationery and printing	1,864	3,824
Cleaning	314	240
Light and heat	600	420
Salaries	5,189	5,205
Audit and Accountancy	2,545	3,156
Legal fees and broker charges	1,014	1,293
Insurance	845	758
Subscriptions	25	133
Meeting costs	1,480	1,133
Bank and Broker charges	909	338
Equipment repairs	221	-

Sundry expenses	364	-
Rates and water	(563)	-
Property expenditure	190	1,050
irrecoverable tax	661	-
Per statement of financial activities	16,117	15,835
Less: property costs	190	1,050
	15,927	14,785

FURTHER NOTES TO THE ACCOUNTS

7 TANGIBLE FIXED ASSETS

	Leasehold Property	Fixtures and fittings	Office Equipment	Total
	£	£	£	£
Cost				
At 1 April 2001	-	-	-	-
Additions	-	-	-	-
At 31 March 2002	-	-	-	-
Depreciation				
At 1 April 2001	-	-	-	-
Charge for the year	-	-	-	-
At 31 March 2002	-	-	-	-
Net Book Value				
At 31 March 2002	-	-	-	-
At 31 March 2001	-	-	-	-

8 FIXED ASSET INVESTMENTS

	31 March 2001	**31 March 2000**
	£	£

Listed investments	-	-
Cash balances	-	-
	-	-

Listed investments

Market value at 1 April 2001	-	-
Purchases	-	-
Sales proceeds	-	-
Realised investment profits/(losses)	-	-
Unrealised investment profit/(losses)	-	-
Market value at 31 March 2001	-	-
Historical cost as at 31 March 2001	-	-
	£	

9 STOCKS

	31 March 2001	31 March 2000
	£	£
Goods putrchased for resale (Leaflets,Christmas Cards, notelets)	-	-

10 DEBTORS

	31 March 2001	31 March 2000
	£	£
Due within one year:		
Other debtors	-	-
Prepayments	-	-
	-	-

11 CREDITORS

	£	£
Due within one year:		
Accruals and deferred income	-	-
Other taxes and social security	-	-
	-	-

12 ANALYSIS OF FUNDS

	Tangible fixed assets	Investments	Net current assets	Total
	£	£	£	£
Restricted fund: Alder	-	-	-	-
Unrestricted Funds	-	-	-	-
Total funds	-	-	-	-

Included in unrestricted funds are investments amounting to £x,xxx which are designated funds set aside for the purpose of replacing the Trust‘s premises on expiry of the lease. It is the Trust's intention to set aside money each year for this purpose (Sinking Fund).

13 COMMITMENTS

There were no commitments.

Committees - Trust Annual Report 2001

Committee Chairmen and Officers

Development Control:	Mrs S. Carden, BA	21 Edgar Road, Winchester
Reference Collection:	Mrs R. Kinnaird Smith, BA, CertCons ConsCert	56 Parchment Street, Winchester
Newsletter Editors:	Miss G Collymore; P Edwards; Mrs R Thomas	
Photographic Library:	Mrs R. Kinnaird-Smith & K.L.Leaman Esq.	
Chippindale:	K.L. Leaman, Esq.	Foyers, Bartley Road, Woodlands, Southampton (Ashurst 2240)
Policy Group:	A. Proudman Esq.	Stable Cottage, Marsh Court, Stockbridge

Association with Other Bodies

Corporate Member of:	English Historic Towns Forum
	Hampshire Archives Trust
	Hampshire Gardens Trust
	The Civic Trust